

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	PILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,919	02/17/2005	Takashi Yamaguchi	09867/0201967/USO	6414
7590 11/10/2098 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			EXAM	IINER
			CEGIELNIK, URSZULA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/511,919	YAMAGUCHI, TAKASHI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Urszula M. Cegielnik	3711			

	Diszula M. Ceglellik 3/11				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 133(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNITIS from the making date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the measurem statutory period will exply and will exply SIX (6) MCNITIS from the making date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the measurem statutory period will exply and will exply set (6) MCNITIS from the making date of this communication to become AMMONDED (38) LOS, 5 133). Any reply received by the Office lister than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustments. See 37 CFR 17 (4)					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July	2008.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	niner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Arrication				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

U.S. Patent and	1 Trademark Offic
PTOL-326	(Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/511,919

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beny et al. (US Patent No. 3,608,236) in view of Tilbor et al. (US Patent No. 6,024,627).

Beny et al. disclose a pair of left and right driven (directed to intended use) wheels; a pair of left and right steered wheels; and a steered wheel-supporting mechanism which supports the steered wheels such that each steered wheel is adapted to turn around a predetermined steering axis and such that the steered wheels are adapted to turn in the same direction in association with each other, wherein the steering axis is fixedly (fixed momentarily for a period of time) inclined with respect to a vertical direction such that an upper portion of the steering axis is located rearward of a lower portion of the steering axis in a traveling direction, and wherein the steered wheel-supporting mechanism is further configured such that, when a speed difference is generated between the driven wheels to turn the automobile model in a turning direction, the steered wheels are naturally steered in the turning direction without any additional steering driving force by a reaction force received from a ground-contact surface; the steering axis with respect to the vertical direction (straight or neutral position) is in the range of 20 to 40 degrees (+- 20) (col. 3, lines 42-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,919

Art Unit: 3711

Beny et al. does not explicitly disclose the left and right driven wheels being independently driven by different driving sources.

Tilbor et al. teach a toy vehicle having a pair of left and right driven wheels being independently driven by different driving sources (col. 3, lines 66-67 through col. 4, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the pair of left and right driven wheels being independently driven by different driving sources as taught by Tilbor et al., since Beny states that the steering arrangement may be applied also powered toy vehicles (col. 2, lines 17-23).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 29 July 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., an upper portion of the steering axis is located rearward of a lower portion of the steering axis in a traveling direction) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/511,919

Art Unit: 3711

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Urszula M. Cegielnik whose telephone number is 571-272-4420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 5:45AM-2:15PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene L. Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,919 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/umc/

/Gene Kim/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3711